



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/560,392

04/28/2000

Michael Wayne Brown

AUS000029US1

3336

42640

7590

01/25/2007

DILLON & YUDELL LLP

8911 NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY

SUITE 2110

AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

DURAN, ARTHUR D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3622

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

01/25/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/560,392

Applicant(s)

BROWN ET AL.

Examiner

Arthur Duran

Art Unit

3622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2006.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 45-49, 51-55 and 57-59 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 45-49, 51-55, and 57-59 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 45-49, 51-55, and 57-59 have been examined.

Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 12/11/06 is insufficient to overcome the prior rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 45-49, 51-55, and 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klug (6,823,327) in view of Gardenswartz (6,055,573) in view of Madison (2002/0023123).

Claims 45, 48, 49, 51, 54, 55, 57: Klug discloses a method, system, program for automatically electronically registering a user with a plurality of consumer providers, said method comprising the steps of:

receiving at each of a plurality of server systems a user profile comprising a plurality of profile elements transmitted in a particular transmittable data format for a particular user from a portable computer system, wherein each of said plurality of server systems is respectively associated with one of a plurality of consumer providers;

Art Unit: 3622

inserting each of said plurality of profile elements respectively into a specified plurality of electronic registration elements required for electronic registration at a particular server system from among said plurality of server systems; and

transmitting a registration indicator for said particular user from said particular server system to said portable computer system in said particular transmittable data format, such that said particular user is automatically registered with said plurality of consumer providers by transmitting said single user profile to said plurality of server systems; and

transmitting a registration information from said particular server system to said portable computer system (Abstract; Fig. 1; Fig 2; Fig. 3; Fig. 5; Fig. 6 ; Fig. 9).

Klug further discloses that the user registration information with indicators of who the user has successfully registered with can be stored at the user device(Fig. 1; col 6, lines 27-35).

Klug further discloses user profile information (Fig. 3).

Klug discloses that the user utilizes the Internet and browsers and computers (Fig. 1).

Klug does not explicitly disclose the utilization of cookies, a portable computer, or targeting.

However, Gardenswartz discloses the utilization of cookies (col 2, lines 3-55) and Gardenswartz further discloses targeting a user and a user registering (col 3, lines 30-45), and utilizing the Internet (Fig. 1), and utilizing a portable computer device (col 11, lines 55-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Gardenswartz's portable computer and utilizing profile information for targeting and utilizing standard Internet technologies such as cookies to Klug's utilizing the Internet and collecting of user profile information given to third party websites. One

Art Unit: 3622

would have been motivated to do this in order to utilize the further location flexibility and convenient technical qualities of the Internet and to make better use of known user information for sending information of more likely interest to the user.

Additionally, the combination of the prior art renders obvious:

Generating a request to transmit a plurality of profile elements to a selected server system from among a plurality of server systems;

Transmitting said request to a portable computer system in response to a determination that said portable computer system is within a particular proximity to said plurality of server systems;

Receiving a user profile comprising said plurality of profile elements in a particular transmittable data format at said selected server system from said portable computer system;

Wherein said selected server system is identified at said portable computer system utilizing data within said plurality of profile elements specifying a consumer preference in response to a receipt of said request at said portable computer system.

Klug discloses generating a request to transmit a plurality of profile elements to a selected server system from among a plurality of server systems (Klug, Fig. 1);

Receiving a user profile comprising said plurality of profile elements in a particular transmittable data format at said selected server system from said portable computer system (Klug, Fig. 1).

Klug further discloses determining at the user computer based on user preferences which server to communicate with:

“(10) In either embodiment, the present invention may also provide a "mass"

Art Unit: 3622

registration capability, wherein a user may request that the present invention automatically register the user at a plurality of web sites. For example, the user may be provided with a capability to search for web sites cooperating with the present invention by, for example, category and request an automatic registration at multiple web sites substantially simultaneously” (col 2, line 65-col 3, line 7).

Klug further discloses utilizing personal information, location information

“(4) Thus, in order to obtain these web site measurements, such web sites have begun requesting that each user provide information about himself/herself prior to the web site allowing access to web site services. That is, such web sites require a user to "register" at the web site, wherein the user is required to establish a user identification (user ID) and optionally a password with the web site as well as typically provide personal information such as, for example, the city of residence or family size” (col 1, lines 45-56).

Klug further discloses targeting users based on preferences:

“Further, such third party web sites 116 may periodically provide the registrar web site 100 with information related to the frequency that users registered at the registrar web site 100 have accessed the third party web sites 116. Therefore, by also storing this information, for example, in the registrar access log 152, the registrar web site 100 is able to determine the frequency and type of access of third party web sites 116 by users” (col 5, lines 45-55)

Klug further discloses that the user is requested to provide information:

“(4) Thus, in order to obtain these web site measurements, such web sites have begun requesting that each user provide information about himself/herself prior to the web site allowing access to web site services. That is, such web sites require a user to "register" at the

Art Unit: 3622

web site, wherein the user is required to establish a user identification (user ID) and optionally a password with the web site as well as typically provide personal information such as, for example, the city of residence or family size (col 1, lines 45-56).

FIGS. 6A and 6B provide a flowchart of the steps performed when supplying a third party web site 116 with registration information from the registrar web site 100, assuming that the third party web site has requested such information and that the request has been authenticated at the registrar web site 100 (col 3, lines 42-48);

Thus, the third party web site 116 requests and receives the user's registration information from the registrar web site 100 and stores the user's registration information in registration information database 148 directly accessible by the third party web site 116. Additionally note that when the registrar web site 100 receives a request from the third party web site 116 for user registration information, a registrar application 128 records the request for the user's registration information in a registrar access log data base 152. Thus, the registrar web site 100 maintains a log of the third party web sites requesting registration information. Further, such third party web sites 116 may periodically provide the registrar web site 100 with information related to the frequency that users registered at the registrar web site 100 have accessed the third party web sites 116. Therefore, by also storing this information, for example, in the registrar access log 152, the registrar web site 100 is able to determine the frequency and type of access of third party web sites 116 by users (col 5, lines 35-55).

(11) Referring now briefly to FIG. 3, this flowchart presents the steps a user performs when entering web site registration information into the fillout forms to be submitted to registrar. Accordingly, in step 304 the user determines whether to supply basic information

Art Unit: 3622

(i.e., requested by a substantial number of third party web sites 116) as described in step 308 or to supply expanded information (i.e., more extensive information about the user so that, for example, registrar has sufficient user information to register the user at substantially all cooperating third party web sites 116). Note that at least in one embodiment, the basic information supplied in step 308 (i.e., the user's name, e-mail address, gender and date of birth) is also requested in the forms for expanded information in step 312 (col 8, lines 40-55).

Accordingly, assuming the user uses a WWW browser 120 to access a third party web site 116 as in step 404, the third party web site responds with a web site home page (step 408) typically having a registration fill-out form into which the user is requested to enter registration information. Note that the user may or may not be registered at this third party web site (col 9, lines 10-16).

Note that a third party web site 116 may request various categories of information from the registrar web site 100 related to the user. In particular, a third party web site may request: (a) basic information as discussed in step 308 of FIG. 3; (b) expanded information as discussed in step 312 of FIG. 3; (c) custom information, wherein selected fields from the basic and expanded information are provided; and (d) proprietary information wherein one or more additional user related information items may be provided wherein these items have been obtained by the registrar web site 100 by, for example, enriching and verifying the registration information obtained from the user as in step 256 of FIG. 2B". (col 10, line 58-col 11, line 5).

Klug does not explicitly disclose utilizing proximity to determine whether or not to communicate with a user.

Art Unit: 3622

However, Gardenswartz discloses disclosing utilizing location/geographic information to determine whether or not communicate with a user:

“(61) In step 1010, the registration server 14 presents the consumer with a reward for fulfilling the value contract. Delivery of the reward may be conditioned on the behavior of the consumer. For example, acceptance of the reward may require that the consumer to visit a specified retail location such as a specific grocery store. Accordingly, the value contract can be implemented to provide the consumer with an incentive to visit selected locations. The locations may be selected on the consumer's preestablished shopping habits (e.g., the grocery store that the consumer frequents most often), as determined from the master record corresponding to the consumer in the purchase history database 8. After step 1010, the process proceeds to step 1014” (col 16, lines 35-50).

Gardenswartz further discloses utilizing user preference information to determine what to communicate to the user or not:

“The online profile may include information such as the consumer's name CID, e-mail address, product/brand preferences, demographic information, work address, home address, whether the consumer has any babies, and whether the consumer has any pets such as a cat, dog, bird, or fish. Preferably, the online profile includes at least one item of information that is stored (or is to be stored) in the purchase history database 8. While referred to as an online profile, the profile may be generated or obtained on an offline basis, such as by filling out a card in a grocery store, for example. Other forms of registration may include a consumer entering registration information at a kiosk in the grocery store after scanning the bar

Art Unit: 3622

code or alternatively swiping the magnetic strip of his or her shopper loyalty card through a magnetic strip reading device. The profile preferably includes information of how to transmit by computer information to the consumer, such as the consumer's e-mail address, IP (Internet protocol) address, or any information which may be used to electronically send information to the consumer, including, for example, through a paging device or a portable computer (col 11, lines 42-65).

(78) Accordingly, steps 1100 through 1112 may be implemented to engage in different targeted messages with different classifications of consumers. Since the classifications are based on the offline purchase history of the consumers, the targeted messages can be targeted based on the consumers offline tastes and preferences. If the targeted messages are interactive messages, the flexibility of the interactive messages permits each different message to be tailored based on the inputs received from consumer, further enhancing the degree to which advertisements and offers can be targeted" (col 20, lines 40-50).

And, Madison discloses communicating with a portable computer system in response to a determination that said portable computer system is within a particular proximity to said plurality of server systems/website/particular locations (Abstract; Fig. 2; Fig. 3; paragraph [7, 32]) and that based on the position of the user a request for demographic information will or will not be made ([32]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Gardenswartz's and Madison's utilizing proximity to determine whether or not to communicate to a user to Klug's communicating with a user, targeting a user,

Art Unit: 3622

and user location information. One would have been motivated to do this in order to communicate with the user when the information may be of higher relevance to the user.

Claim 46, 52, 58: Klug and Gardenswartz and Madison disclose the above and Klug further discloses storing said electronic registration in association with said particular registration indicator at said particular server system (Abstract; Fig. 1; Fig 2; Fig. 3; Fig. 5; Fig. 6; Fig. 9).

Claim 47, 53, 59: Klug and Gardenswartz and Madison discloses the above and Klug further discloses that in response to receiving said registration indicator at said particular server system, retrieving said electronic registration for said particular user (Abstract; Fig. 1; Fig 2; Fig. 3; Fig. 5; Fig. 6; Fig. 9).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect the claims have been considered but are not found persuasive.

On page 2 of the Applicant's Remarks dated 12/11/06, Applicant states, "the combination of Klug, Meyer, and Gardenswartz. . .". However, Examiner notes that Klug, Gardenswartz, and Madison were combined in the prior art rejections.

On page 2 of the Applicant's Remarks dated 6/13/06, Applicant states the combination of the prior art fails to disclose or suggest, "generating a request to transmit a plurality of profile elements to a selected server system from among a plurality of server systems" and "transmitting said request to a portable computer system in response to a determination that said portable computer system is within a particular proximity to said plurality of server systems".

Examiner notes that it is the Applicant's claims as stated in the Applicant's claims that are being rejected with the prior art. Also, although the claims are interpreted in light of the

Art Unit: 3622

specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). And, Examiner notes that claims are given their broadest reasonable construction. See *In re Hyatt*, 211 F.3d 1367, 54 USPQ2d 1664 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

Examiner further notes that while specific references were made to the prior art, it is actually also the prior art in its entirety and the combination of the prior art in its entirety that is being referred to. Also, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Also, it must be presumed that the artisan knows something about the art apart from what the references disclose. In *re Jacobv*, 309 F.2d 513, 135 USPQ 317 (CCPA 1962). The problem cannot be approached on the basis that artisans would only know what they read in references; such artisans must be presumed to know something about the art apart from what the references disclose. In *re Jacoby*. Also, the conclusion of obviousness may be made from common knowledge and common sense of a person of ordinary skill in the art without any specific hint of suggestion a particular reference. In *re Bozek*, 416 F.2d 1385, USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). And, every reference relies to some extent on knowledge or persons skilled in the art to complement that which is disclosed therein. In *re Bode*, 550 F.2d 656, USPQ 12 (CCPA 1977).

Also, Examiner notes that the person of ordinary skill in the art is a hypothetical person who is presumed to know the relevant prior art. *Custom Accessories, Inc. v. Jeffrey-Allan Indus., Inc.*, 807 F.2d 955,962, 1 USPQ2d 1196, 1201 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In determining this skill level, the court may consider various factors including "type of problems encountered in the art; prior art solutions to those problems; rapidity with which innovations are made; sophistication of the technology; and educational level of active workers in the field." *Id.*, cited in *In re GPAC*, 57 F.3d 1573, 1579, 35 USPQ2d 1116, 1121 (Fed. Cir. 1995). In a given case, every factor may not be present, and one or more factors may predominate. *Id.* at 962-63, 1 USPQ2d at 1201.

However, in Klug's Fig. 1 the Registrar Web Site sends a request to the user for user registration information and the registration information includes user profile/registration/preference information (Klug, Fig. 1, Fig 2a, Fig 3, 4a). Also, each time the user access a new third party Website the user is requested to provide registration/profile information (Fig. 4a). Examiner further notes that the Registration information can include preferred website (Fig. 2a, item 208) as well as both basic personal user information and a variety of expanded user related information (Fig. 3, items 308, 312).

Also, as noted in the rejection above, Gardenswartz further discloses that user profile/registration information can include user preferences:

"The online profile may include information such as the consumer's name CID, e-mail address, product/brand preferences, demographic information, work address, home address, whether the consumer has any babies, and whether the consumer has any pets such as a cat, dog, bird, or fish. Preferably, the online profile includes at least one item of information

Art Unit: 3622

that is stored (or is to be stored) in the purchase history database 8. While referred to as an online profile, the profile may be generated or obtained on an offline basis, such as by filling out a card in a grocery store, for example. Other forms of registration may include a consumer entering registration information at a kiosk in the grocery store after scanning the bar code or alternatively swiping the magnetic strip of his or her shopper loyalty card through a magnetic strip reading device. The profile preferably includes information of how to transmit by computer information to the consumer, such as the consumer's e-mail address, IP (Internet protocol) address, or any information which may be used to electronically send information to the consumer, including, for example, through a paging device or a portable computer (col 11, lines 42-65).

(78) Accordingly, steps 1100 through 1112 may be implemented to engage in different targeted messages with different classifications of consumers. Since the classifications are based on the offline purchase history of the consumers, the targeted messages can be targeted based on the consumers offline tastes and preferences. If the targeted messages are interactive messages, the flexibility of the interactive messages permits each different message to be tailored based on the inputs received from consumer, further enhancing the degree to which advertisements and offers can be targeted" (col 20, lines 40-50).

Art Unit: 3622

Also, as noted in the rejection above, Gardenswartz discloses that the user computer can be a portable computer system (col 11, lines 55-65). Also, MPEPE 2144.04.V.A. discloses that it is obvious to make a device portable.

Also, note that Gardenswartz further discloses that cookies can be utilized whereby a server generates and transmits a request to a portable computer system to transmit multiple profile elements that specify consumer preferences:

“(8) Currently, advertisers are able to implement a limited form of targeted advertising over the Internet. This is accomplished by sending a block of data, such as a “cookie,” from a remote host or server (i.e., a Web server) maintained by an advertiser to a computer (i.e., a client system) that has access to the remote server via the World Wide Web. A cookie, as used in network and Internet communication, is a block of data or state object that a Web server stores on a client system. When the client system accesses a Web site within a limited range of domain names, the client system automatically transmits a copy of the cookie to the Web server that serves the Web site. The cookie may include a unique cookie number corresponding to the client system. Thus, the cookie can be used to identify the client system (by identifying the Web browser) and to instruct the server to send a customized copy of the requested Web page to the Web browser (col 2, lines 3-20).

(9) Since cookies are also used to track a consumer's online activity, a Web server can deliver targeted advertisements to a consumer's Web browser, based on the consumer's online activity. For example, if a cookie tracks the various IP addresses accessed by the consumer's computer, the Web server can deliver ad banners to the consumer's Web browser based on the IP addresses the Web browser has accessed. Thus, the cookie can be used to record the online activity of a consumer, and information regarding the consumer's tastes and tendencies can be inferred from the consumer's online activity. Using this inference, an advertiser can try to target specific advertisements to specific computer consumers, based on the record of the computer consumers' online activities. That is, the advertiser can try to expose the computer consumers to advertisements designed to appeal to their particular tastes and interests” (col 2, lines 20-35).

Note in Gardenswartz disclosure on cookies above that the server requests the cookie information from the portable computer and that the cookie information includes multiple profile element information including consumer preference information.

And, in regards to the features of “generating a request to transmit a plurality of profile elements to a selected server system from among a plurality of server systems”, Examiner notes that Klug discloses that the third party website/server requests registration/profile information from the user and/or registrar website (Fig. 4a; Fig 4a, item 416, item 424). Examiner further notes that there are numerous website/server systems (Fig. 1; Fig. 1, item 116). Hence, Klug discloses that a selected server system/website from among several server systems/websites requests the transmission of profile elements.

Also, note that the website(s) in Klug are associated with different server(s):

“The World Wide Web (WWW) is a global communications network having a client-server model as a paradigm for communications. That is, users on client nodes utilizing so called “web browsers” navigate the WWW to access desired server nodes (known as web sites) for at least obtaining information from the server nodes such as hypertext, audio, video, virtual reality, data, etc” (col 1, lines 30-37).

Hence, the website(s) in Klug are also analogous with server(s).

In regards to, “transmitting said request to a portable computer system in response to a determination that said portable computer system is within a particular proximity to said plurality of server systems”, as noted in the rejection above and also in the Response to Arguments above, Gardenswartz discloses that the computer connected to the Internet can be portable. Also, MPEPE 2144.04.V.A. discloses that it is obvious to make a device portable. And, Gardenswartz, as noted in the rejection above, disclose that location can be a criteria for determining whether to communicate with a user or not (Gardenswartz, col 16, lines 35-50).

And, as noted above in reference to Gardenswartz and cookies, Gardenswartz can send a request of the cookie/profile/preference information to the portable user computer. Hence, Gardenswartz discloses that proximity or location can be a criteria for determining whether or

Art Unit: 3622

not communicate with a user and that when communicating with a user/ portable user computer that a request of cookie/profile/preference information can be sent. Also, note that since the user online activity that provides user preference information keeps changing over time that the server/website can request the user profile elements more than once over time in order to attain the most up to data concerning a user.

And, Madison discloses communicating with a portable computer system in response to a determination that said portable computer system is within a particular proximity to said plurality of server systems/website/particular locations (Abstract; Fig. 2; Fig. 3; paragraph [7, 32]) and that based on the position of the user a request for demographic information will or will not be made ([32]).

Hence, the combination of the prior art renders obvious transmitting said request to a portable computer system in response to a determination that said portable computer system is within a particular proximity to said plurality of server systems.

Klug further discloses utilizing personal information and/or location information in reference to communicating with a user (Fig. 3, item 308; and citation below):

“(4) Thus, in order to obtain these web site measurements, such web sites have begun requesting that each user provide information about himself/herself prior to the web site allowing access to web site services. That is, such web sites require a user to "register" at the web site, wherein the user is required to establish a user identification (user ID) and optionally a password with the web site as well as typically provide personal information such as, for example, the city of residence or family size” (col 1, lines 45-56).

Therefore, as noted in the Rejection above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Gardenswartz's and Madison's utilizing proximity to determine whether or not to communicate to a user to Klug's communicating with a user, targeting a user, and user location information. One would have been motivated to do this in order to communicate with the user when the information may be of higher relevance to the user.

Also, Examiner notes that the determining if a user is in proximity of a server system(s) is equivalent to determining if the user is proximate to a particular location of relevance as disclosed in the prior art above. The city, store, offline merchant, online merchant, disclosed in the prior art above utilize electronic communications to communicate with the user via the Internet. Hence, determining if a user is proximate to a server system is equivalent to determining if a user is proximate to a particular geographic location/area (city, online merchant, offline merchant, etc) where that geographic location/area utilizes electronic communications to communicate with the user via the Internet. And, the prior art above discloses that the geographic locations (city, online merchant, offline merchant, etc) utilize electronic communications and the Internet.

Art Unit: 3622

On page 3, Applicant states the combination of the prior art fails to disclose or suggest, “wherein said selected server system is identified at said portable computer system utilizing data within said plurality of profile elements specifying a consumer preference”.

However, Klug discloses that the user computer can locally store user profile information and information on which websites/servers the user has registered with (col 6, lines 27-40).

And, Klug further discloses that a server system can be identified as having been priorly selected by a user before or not (Fig. 4a, item 412). And, note that Klug’s user selecting which websites or not to register with and then recording this information functions as recording the user preference of which websites the user prefers to belong to or not.

Also, Klug further discloses that selected server system is identified at said portable computer system utilizing data within said plurality of profile elements specifying a consumer preference:

“(10) In either embodiment, the present invention may also provide a “mass” registration capability, wherein a user may request that the present invention automatically register the user at a plurality of web sites. For example, the user may be provided with a capability to search for web sites cooperating with the present invention by, for example, category and request an automatic registration at multiple web sites substantially simultaneously” (col 2, line 65-col 3, line 7). Note that the user enters the preference for selected server system(s)/website(s) to which the user wants to register and that this information of selected server system(s)/website(s) is stored in the user profile that is locally kept.

And, Klug further discloses targeting users based on preferences:

Art Unit: 3622

“Further, such third party web sites 116 may periodically provide the registrar web site 100 with information related to the frequency that users registered at the registrar web site 100 have accessed the third party web sites 116. Therefore, by also storing this information, for example, in the registrar access log 152, the registrar web site 100 is able to determine the frequency and type of access of third party web sites 116 by users” (col 5, lines 45-55).

Also, note that Gardenswartz discloses that said selected server system is identified at said portable computer system utilizing data within said plurality of profile elements specifying a consumer preference in response to receipt of said request at said portable computer system (col 2, lines 3-55; col 11, lines 24-35).

Also, note that Gardenswartz discloses utilizing user cookie/profile/preference information for registration purposes (col 11, lines 24-35).

Hence, the combination of the prior art renders obvious the features of the Applicant's claims.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a) Leonard (20020120629), Kaddeche (20030036949), Dietz (20040102197) disclose utilizing proximity and geographical information and cookies to determine whether or not to communicate with a user;

Art Unit: 3622

b) Also, Meyer (6,915,271) further discloses that selected server system is identified at said portable computer system utilizing data within said plurality of profile elements specifying a consumer preference:

“(19) PCT publication WO9819224 to O'Toole et al., entitled CONTROLLED TRANSFER OF INFORMATION IN COMPUTER NETWORKS, relates to techniques for controlling transfers of information in computer networks. One technique involves transmitting smart digital offers based on information such as coupons and purchasing histories stored at the computer receiving the offer. Another technique involves transmitting from a server computer to a client computer a request for a user's personal profile information, and activating a client avatar that compares the request for personal profile information with a security profile of the user limiting access to personal profile information” (col 3, lines 55-67).

Hence, Meyer discloses that the user computer system can determine which server systems to provide profile information with or how much profile information to provide based on user specified profile information sharing criteria.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Arthur Duran
Primary Examiner
1/16/2007